


Agro Morphological Trait Characterization of Chickpea Germplasm Varieties to Improve Genetic Resources in Agdora, Oromia, Ethiopia

Bekele Kindie



Abstract: According to the study, thirty-two chickpea accessions with eight check varieties have been characterized considering to its morphological characteristics. All accessions have exhibited absence of plant pigmentation, and the majority of them exhibited an erect plant growth type. Most accessions had an angular ram's seed shape and medium-length pods. Majority of accessions have exhibited early days of flowering and medium-sized seeds, while Plant height had the lowest coefficient of variation (0.04), and Days to 50% flowering had the highest coefficient of variation (0.57%). All accessions have been characterizing lately flowering whereas twenty-five accessions were lately matured than check varieties. Twenty accessions have produced more primary branches and twenty-four accessions produced higher pods per plant than the check varieties. Three clusters were present in the study. days to 75% maturity and plant height have very significant positive association ($r=+1.00$) but there was no association between secondary branch and 100 seed weight.

Keywords: Characterization, Morphological trait, Accessions, Clusters

I. INTRODUCTION

Ethiopian chickpea production is expected to continue growing and the number of smallholder farmers growing chickpea in Ethiopia has increased from 154,281 Ha in 2003 to 239,512 Ha in 2012 and the production has increased from 210,000 MT in 2006 to over 409,733 MT in 2012. Chickpea crop is the third most important grown legume crop and production Following common beans and Faba beans in Ethiopia as providing important source of farmer income and nutrition to poor farmers and the third most important pulse crop in the world [5][27]. In Ethiopia with the introduction of improved varieties and crop management techniques, chickpea has become progressively popular. The crop is now cultivated nearly 0.7 million households on an estimated 242,703 hectares (ha) of land, and in 2018 total production reached 499,426 tons, with average productivity of 2.058 tons per ha⁻¹ in 2018. The chickpea crop is the third most important export crop among legumes, generating US\$61 million annually in the country's. The crop's production and land area continue to fall short of their potential despite this development.

According to estimates, there are two million ha of extremely appropriate land that might be used to grow chickpeas, with yields of up to five tons per ha⁻¹. The Bale Highlands, a location in southeast Ethiopia, is one place that might gain. Farmers in this region, who rely heavily on the monoculture of wheat, are used to mechanical production and frequently overlook chickpea because it involves manual planting and harvesting, which is labor-intensive and expensive.

Chickpea is a versatile and the cheapest crop with multiple benefits for integrated crop-livestock farming systems [24]. It is a crucial source of micronutrients and protein, enhances soil fertility by fixing nitrogen, has a small carbon footprint, helps to mitigate climate change, and is simple to add into crop rotations [3][25][26]. It also has significant contribution to household incomes and international markets reserves of foreign currency. India, Pakistan, Mexico, Turkey, Ethiopia, and Myanmar are the top chickpea producing nations throughout the worldwide [13]. The crop most likely came from the region that is now southeastern Turkey and the nearby Syrian regions. The suggestion has been made that Ethiopia and India are secondary centers for the variety of cultivated chickpea [9].

The genetic diversity found in plant genetic resources ensures that genetic development will continue, as well as acting as insurance against unanticipated dangers to agricultural productivity [8]. For the purpose of creating high yielding varieties and preserving their productivity in plant breeding procedures, genetic diversity studies of plants are crucial [24]. Screening and selection for crop improvement varieties would be based on the presence of enhanced genotypes, which only depends on the presence of better agronomic traits along with disease resistance, earliness, and high yield [13][24]. Unluckily, despite its nutritional benefits and economic significance, chickpea output is quite low per hectare because improved varieties and technologies developed by the research system in Ethiopia are not being used. This is mostly caused by the poor genetic makeup of the cultivars that are readily available [1], [24]. Any breeding program needs genetic diversity because it gives plant breeders the chance to choose genotypes with high yields. Based on morphological features, which are traditional ways to separate variants based on the observation of external morphological differences in various geographic regions, one-way techniques of estimating genetic diversity is based on these differences [7],[8],[24]. Additionally, morphological features are easy to score and inexpensive to use.

Manuscript received on 20 March 2022 | Revised Manuscript received on 25 March 2022 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 April 2022 | Manuscript published on 30 November 2023.

* Correspondence Author (s)

Bekele Kindie*, Ethiopia Biodiversity Institute Harar Biodiversity Centre, Harar, Ethiopia. E-mail: kindiebekele21@gmail.com. Orcid id: 0009-0003-6680-6234

© The Authors. Published by Lattice Science Publication (LSP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Agro Morphological Trait Characterization of Chickpea Germplasm Varieties to Improve Genetic Resources in Agdora, Oromia, Ethiopia

The landraces displayed significant intra- and inter population heterogeneity in the research of Ethiopian chickpea morphological features [1].

The basis for choosing enhanced crop varieties is provided by its crucial information on the relationships between yield and other important components [24]. Therefore, Characterization plays a crucial role in the identification of accessions or varieties because to the distinctive agro morphological qualities those are crucial for seed production, seed quality, seed certification, and genetic purity. Modern plant breeding and agricultural systems have narrowed the base for the genetic diversity of cultivated chickpea [24]. This study's goal is to evaluate the morphological diversity of the selected chickpea accession varieties by analyzing qualitative and quantitative traits.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Experimental Materials

The experiment was conducted at Agdora demonstration and protected area in 2021/22. Agdora Kebele is found in Fadis Woreda of Easter Hararghe zone far away 33km from Harar city and 8km from Fadis Woreda. Thirty-two selected chickpea accessions with eight check varieties were sown on the growing rainy season on 5th August 2021/22. All the agronomic practices and data collection were carried out throughout the crop growing and harvesting season. The experiment conducted on Characterization of qualitative and quantitative traits according to descriptor traits of Chickpea presented in (Appendix 1 and 2). For data on plant bases, the mean of five plants which were randomly selected from the two central rows for the plot bases and the two interior rows were used for data collection [10][24].

B. Experimental Design and Layout

With three replications, a randomized block design (RBD) was adopted. Each accession was planted in five rows with a 3 m² (1 m x 3 m) plot area, with blocks and plots spaced apart by 1.5 m and 0.75 m, respectively. Using a 0.15m gap between plants, 100 seeds were planted in each plot. Randomly chosen five plants per plot from each accession were tagged and used to capture data on morphological traits. The morphological data recorded on qualitative and quantitative agronomic traits were collected during the cropping season according to descriptors of chickpea [10]. The data recording on qualitative and quantitative characters were listed with its measuring scale and period of time presented. The data collection on qualitative and quantitative characteristics were listed in (Appendix 1&2), along with the measuring scale and time period used respectively.

C. Statistical Data Analysis

Using SAS statistical software, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done to determine the variation in agro-morphological traits [21]. Using straightforward statistical metrics like mean, range, genotypic and phenotypic variances, and coefficient of variations, the variation of each morphological attribute, such as quantitative traits, was assessed. The phenotypic and genotypic variation and coefficient of variations were calculated following the formula suggested [22].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Qualitative Traits

Qualitative traits characterization of thirty-two accessions and eight chick varieties were characterized based on the characteristics described in the Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability guidelines. Characterization through morphological traits has been used as a major component for identification of genotypes or cultivar varieties. Identification of any cultivar is not possible based on a single trait where a detailed morphological description of plants and seeds should be characterizing [18][24]. 12 qualitative traits of accessions were characterized presented in (Appendix 3). All accessions were characterized as absences of plant pigmentation, no variations have occurred on plant pigmentation. This result is similarly to the report conducted [20][24]. Most accessions were characterized by erect plant growth type followed by semi erect plant growth type. Accessions were dominantly characterized as pink flowering color followed by light pink flowering color. Flower color is one of the most important and easily observable qualitative traits and widely used as a marker gene in genetic studies and breeding work line up with the reports conducted [14][20][24]. As the result showed that most accessions were characterized by medium pod length 23(72%) followed by short pod length 7(22%). Chickpea pod size variations were observed due to polygenic control and, genotypic, environmental and genotypic with environmental interactions factors agreed with report conducted [11][24].

On the basis of seed shape all thirty-two accessions were categorized into three types of seed shape presented in (Appendix 1). As the result most of accessions were characterized angular ram's head shape 25(78%) followed by irregular rounded owl's head shape 6(19%). And majority of accessions were characterized rough seed texture 21(66%) followed by tuberculated texture 6(19%). This result is agreed with report conducted [11][24]. Based on Seed color variations observed all accessions were characterized as 22(69%) accessions yellow to orange yellow, 4 (13%) accession brown to reddish brown, 2 (6%) accessions light orange to light, 2 (6%) accessions black brown mosaic and 2 (6%) accessions were green to light green seed color. Most accessions were characterized as yellow to orange yellow seed color. Characterization of various agro-morphological traits such as seed size, seed testa texture, seed shape and seed color facilitate for selection of desirable traits and genetic varieties. This result is line up with research conducted by [23][15][24][28]. All accessions were characterized as short heighted (< 45 cm). based on 100 seed weight accessions seed size most seed size of accessions was characterized medium seed size 22(68.75%) followed by small seed size 10(31%). All accessions were characterized as absences of seed shattering and lodging. Based on days to 50% flowering of accessions were characterized as 24(75%) early flowering date, 8(25%) medium flowering date but no late flowering dates were observed on the studied accessions.

Agro-morphological characterizations of accession have been a major component of varietal identification and efficient utilization in the breeding program. It is not possible to identify advanced varieties using any single parameter [6][24]. Therefore, a detailed morphological description of plants and seeds should be characterized and assigned distinctive morphological profiles characterization [11][3][29].

Differential traits in respect of growth type, plant height, days to 50% flowering, seed size, seed color, flowering color, seed shape, primary branch, secondary branch, pods per plant, 100 seed weight in gram, yield per plot and yield in kilogram per hectare showed significant variation in yield which leads to distinguish from each other. Similar results noticed by [2][24]. Utilization of agro-morphological features in sequential fashion is useful and convenient to distinguish different genotypes. Similarly, genotypes identification based on distinguishable morphological characters were reported in chickpea [19][4][24].

B. Quantitative traits

Nine quantitative traits of accessions along with eight check varieties were characterized and presented in (Appendix 2). The highest coefficients of variation (CV) were observed for Days to 50% flowering (0.57%), Secondary branch (0.49%), 100 Seed weight (0.47%) and Yield per hectare (0.34%). While Primary branch (0.06%), Days to 75% maturity (0.06%) and Plant height (0.04) were observed least coefficient of variation (Appendix 4). The days to 50% flowering were recorded from 51 to 66th days after sowing and days to 75% maturity were recorded from 79th to 92th days. All accessions were flowering later than the check varieties, whereas 7 accessions matured earlier and 25 accessions were later matured check varieties. 22 accessions were produced more primary branches than the check varieties and all accessions were produced more secondary branches than check varieties. 24 accessions produced higher pods per plant compared to the check varieties and the highest 142 pods per plant was recorded for EBI-30345 with better yield per plot (524) and yield per hectare (1748) compared to all check varieties. When increasing the plot size and block replication number at the same location and environmental conditions as well as number of check varieties, no significant morphological variation of accessions was occurred. This result is agreed with the report conducted by [24].

Table 1. Standard Deviation (SD), Mean Performance of Yield, and CV (%) of Accession.

Characteristics	Maximum	Minimum	Range	Mean	SD	CV %
PH	43	34	9	83.91	4.7	0.04
DF	67	52	15	17.50	10	0.57
DM	93	78	15	57.91	3.7	0.06
PB	9.3	2	7.3	38.98	2.4	0.06
SB	14	5.9	8.1	3.35	1.7	0.49
PPP	142.4	24.8	117.6	8.83	2.4	0.27
TGW	55.9	25.9	30.0	61.57	28.9	0.47
YPP	525.1	99.5	425.6	32.48	8.4	0.26
YPH	1748	329.3	1418.7	291.2	99.2	0.34

C. Genetic Diversity Analysis

3.3.1. Cluster Analysis

Three clusters were grouped presented in (Table 2). Cluster I consisted of 12 accessions that were characterized as dwarf type; least Secondary branch, pod per plant, yield per plant and yield per hectare, whereas medium days to 50% flowering days, days to maturity and primary branch. Cluster II, consisted of 20 accessions which was distinguished by its maximum days to 50% flowering days, days to maturity, plant height, secondary branch, and 100 Seed weight, as well as by its medium and minimal primary branch yields per plot and yield per hectare. Cluster III constituted 8 accessions, and characteristics: maximum primary branch, pods per plant, yields per plant, and yields per hectare; medium plant height, secondary branch, and 100 Seed Weight (TGW); minimum days to 50% flowering days; and minimum days to maturity plant.

Cluster II consisted of maximum accessions indicating that the accession had narrowed genetic divergence. The similarity in the parental population, which had evolved, might be the cause of genetic uniformity. However, the unidirectional selection potential for one particular trait or a group of linked traits in several places may produce similar phenotypes which can be aggregated into one cluster irrespective of their geographic origin [12][17]. Cluster I had minimal secondary branches, plant heights, and seed weights of 100, whereas Cluster II had maximum plant heights, secondary branches, and seed weights of 100, while Cluster III was distinguished by the highest possible pods per plot, yield per hectare, and yield per plot.

Table 2. Grouping of Accessions in Three Clusters

Cluster	Number of accessions	Entry number accessions	Entry accession.
cluster I	12	5,6,7,8,10,17,27, 28,29,30,31,36	EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26925, EBI-30347, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, Check 4
Cluster II	20	1,2,3,4,9,11,12, 13,19,20,21,22, 23,25,26,32,33,34,37,39	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26924, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 2, Check 5, Check 7
Cluster III	8	14,15,16,18,24,40,38,35	EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30350, EBI-30315, Check 8, Check 6, Check 3

Agro Morphological Trait Characterization of Chickpea Germplasm Varieties to Improve Genetic Resources in Agdora, Oromia, Ethiopia

Table 3. Cluster Mean Performances of Nine Quantitative Traits.

Cluster	DF	DM	PH	PB	SB	PPP	TGW	YPP	YPH
I	57	83	38	3.3	6	23.8	28.2	98.5	328.3
II	58	84	42	2.3	11.5	106.8	30.3	310.7	1035.7
III	55	81	40	3.4	11	141.4	29.4	524.1	1747.0
Mean	57	83	40	3	9.5	90.7	29.3	311.1	1037

The intra cluster distance varied from 475 to 953. Cluster III (953) had the greatest intra cluster distance (Table 4). As a result, Cluster III had the most varied accessions. When accessions from clusters with the greatest inter-cluster distance are crossed, the resulting hybrids may have a strong heterotic response and produce better recombinants. Clusters are extremely dissimilar from one another. The genotypes of higher intra- and inter-cluster distances have more genetic divergence than the genotypes of minimum intra- and inter-cluster distance [11].

Table 4. Intra and Inter-Cluster Distances of Three Clusters.

	cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III
cluster I		225625 (475)	908209 (953)
Cluster II			229441 (479)
Cluster III			908209 (953)

3.3.2. Correlation of accessions quantitative traits

The quantitative traits correlation was characterized and presented in (Table 5).

As result indicated that significantly strong positive correlation were observed between days to 75% maturity with plant height($r=1.00$) followed by 100 seed weight with yield per hectare($r=0.64$); secondary branch with pods per plant($r=0.59$), while weak and negative correlation were observed between days to 50% flowering day with secondary branch; 100 seed weight with yield per plot; pods per plot with days to 50% flowering days; primary branch with pods per plot but no correlation were observed between secondary branch and 100 seed weight.

Table 5. Correlation of Quantitative Traits with Grain Yield.

	DF	DM	PH	PB	SB	PPP	TGW	YPP	YPH
DF	1	-0.17	-0.17	-0.03	-0.69	-0.19	-0.01	-0.13	-0.10
DM		1	1.00	-0.08	-0.15	-0.05	-0.04	-0.09	-0.15
PH			1	-0.08	-0.15	-0.05	-0.04	-0.09	-0.15
PB				1	-0.12	-0.18	-0.03	0.18	0.25
SB					1	0.59	-0.00	0.43	0.08
PPP						1	0.35	0.30	0.21
TGW							1	-0.24	0.64
YPP								1	-0.12
YPH									1

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the majority of accessions had pink flowers and an erect plant growth type. The majority of accessions had rough seed texture and reddish-brown to brown seeds color. All accessions were identified as early flowering dates and absences of seed shattering, but no late flowering dates were seen. Days to 50% flowering, Secondary branch, 100 Seed weight, and Yield per hectare were found to have the largest coefficients of variation (CV). The plant height, primary branch, and days to 75% maturity were shown to have the lowest coefficients of variance. Thirty-two accessions had flowering later than the check varieties while seven accessions have matured earlier and twenty-five accessions later matured than the check varieties. Compared to check varieties, 32 accessions produced more secondary branches and 24 accessions produced more pods per plant than check varieties. The highest 142.4 pods per plant was recorded for EBI-30345 with better yield per plot (524.1) and yield per hectare (1748) compared to all check varieties.

Cluster I was having a minimum secondary branch, plant height, and 100 seed weight; Cluster II was having a maximum plant height, secondary branch, and 100 seed

weight; and Cluster III having a maximum pods per plot, yield per hectare, and yield per plot. Cluster III had the highest intra cluster distance (953). The most divergent accessions were those that belonged to cluster III. As a result of the analysis, a significant and strong positive correlation was found between the days to 75% maturity and plant height ($r=1.00$); however, there was no correlation found between the secondary branch and 100 seed weight ($r=0.00$). Weak and negative correlations were found between the yield per plot and 100 seed weight ($r=-0.24$).

Characterizations of 32 chickpea accessions revealed better yield, environmental tolerance, and resistance when compared to control variety. There was no appreciable morphological change of accessions when plot size and block replication number were increased in the same geographic area and environmental circumstances. The results of this study may be applied to the breeding program for developing improved chickpea cultivars and combining desired genetic features to meet the challenge of creating breeder variations for climate-smart crops.



RECOMMENDATION

- To estimating the genetic parameters for the character can help with crop development programs and hybridization through allowing us to see how the environment impacts the traits and how we can use them further.
- Morphological characterization may be helpful in creating core collections at gene banks so that accessions are more easily available to breeders.
- To use marker-based accession identification and characterization to protect the integrity of variants for the future gain of breeders, farmer's, and consumers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Author thankfulness and acknowledgement to the Harar biodiversity center to provide research budget, material and technical support.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

Funding	Harar biodiversity center
Conflicts of Interest	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material	The data were available within the journal and author hands.
Authors Contributions	I am only the sole author of the article

REFERENCES

1. Bejiga G, Eshete M, Anbessea Y 1996. Improved cultivars and production technology of chickpea in Ethiopia. Research Bulletin, No. 2, Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, Haramaya University of Agriculture, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia.
2. Bodake K.K, Wagh R.S, and Deshmukh D.V. 2014. Morpho-physiological characterization of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 10(2):512-8.
3. Chaudhary L, Rajat S, Kumar M. and Kumar S. 2021. Morphological Characterization and Assessment of Genetic Diversity in Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] Germplasm. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 12(3): 707-711. <https://doi.org/10.37992/2021.1203.098>
4. Chowdhury M.A, Bert V.B, and Warkentin T. 2002. Cultivar identification and genetic relationship among selected breeding lines and cultivars in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euphytica. 127(1-2): 317-325. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020366819075>
5. FAO.2020. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT Statistical Database.
6. Gedya L.N, Patel D.A, Kumar D. and Nayak, K.V. 2018. Characterization of chickpea genotypes based on morphological traits. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7(4):3008-15.
7. Ghaffari P, Talebi R, Keshavarz F.2014. Genetic diversity and geographical differentiation of Iranian landrace, cultivars and exotic chickpea lines as revealed by morphological and microsatellite markers. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants. 20:225-233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-014-0223-9>
8. Hari DU, Dwivedi SL, Baum M, Varshney RK, Udupa SM, Gowda CLL, Hoisington D, Singh S.2008. Genetic structure, diversity, and allelic richness in composite collection and reference set in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). BMC Plant Biology. 8(1):106. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-8-106>
9. Harlan JR.1992. Crops and Man. American Society of Agronomy. Crop Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin pp. 63-262. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) (1993). Rome, Italy.
10. IBPGR, ICRISAT & ICARDA.1993. Descriptors for Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). In International board for plant genetic resources, Rome, Italy; International Crops Research Institute for the semi-arid tropics.
11. Janghel D.K, Kumar K, Sunil R. and Chhabra A.K.2020. Genetic Diversity Analysis, Characterization and Evaluation of Elite Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Genotypes. International Journal Current

- Microbiology Applied Science. 9(1): 199-209. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.901.023>
12. Joshi J. A, Ganeshram S. and Kannan, J. R. 2006. Genetic divergence and yield improvement in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Madras Agriculture Journal. 93(7-12): 256-259.
13. Keneni G, Bekele E, Intiaz M, Dagne K, Getu E, Assefa F.2011. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Ethiopian Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Germplasm Accessions from Different Geographical Origins as Revealed by Microsatellite Markers. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter.30(3):654-665. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-011-0374-6>
14. Lalji N Gediya, Dipak A Patel, Deep Kumar, KJ Vekariya and JJ Nayak. 2018. Characterization of chickpea genotypes based on morphological traits. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7(4): 3008-3015.
15. Loko, L.E., Orobiji A, Adjatin A, Akpo J, Toffa J, Djedatin, G, Dansi A. 2018. Morphological characterization of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces of Central region of Benin Republic.
16. Malik S. R. Shabbir G, Zubir M, Ali A.2014. Genetic diversity analysis of morpho-generic traits in Desi Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng. 16: 956-960.
17. Parashi V. S., Lad, T. B, Mhase L. B, Kute N. S. and Sonawane C. J. 2013. Genetic diversities studies in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Bioinfolet. 10(1B): 337-341.
18. PPV and FRA.2018. Guidelines for the Conduct of Test for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).
19. Sarao N.K, Joshi M.A, Sharma R.C, Sandhu, J.S. and Kumar, J. 2009. Characterization of chickpea based on morphological markers. Journal of Food Legumes. 22(4): 251-253.
20. Sarla Kumawat, Anita Babbar, Ravindra Singh Solanki, Manoranjan Biswal and Prabha Banjarey.2020. Morphological Characterization of Elite Kabuli Chickpea Lines. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 9(09): 1241-1250. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.152>
21. SAS.2004. Institute of SAS/STAT guide for personal computers, version 9.0 edition, SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.
22. Singh RK and Chaudhary BD.1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publisher, New Delhi, Ludhiana, India, pp.300.
23. Solanki R.S, Biswal, M, Kumawat S. and Babbar A. 2019. Characterization of Indigenous and Exotic Chickpea Lines for Qualitative Traits. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 7(4): 1018-1023.
24. Bekele Kindie and Solomon Mengistu. 2022. Phenotypic Characterization of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Base on Agromorphological Traits at Fedis Eastern Oromia, Ethiopia. Int.J.Curr.Res.Aca.Rev. 10(12), 25-39. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2022.1012.004>.
25. Rai, A., & Gour, K. (2020). Automatic Sign Language Gesture Recognition using Prewitt & Morphological Dilation. In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 10, Issue 1, pp. 334–339). <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.a1884.1010120>
26. Cerón-Figueroa*, S. (2019). Mobile Application for Breast Cancer Diagnosis Using Morphological Associative Memories Implemented on a Cloud Platform. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 2, pp. 3763–3769). <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijtee.b6234.129219>
27. R, R., & S H, M. (2021). Plant Disease Detection and Classification using CNN. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 10, Issue 3, pp. 152–156). <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c6458.0910321>
28. Satish*, P., Srinivasulu, S., & Swathi, Dr. R. (2019). A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Based Rainfall Prediction Model Using Deep Neural Network. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 8, Issue 12, pp. 5370–5373). <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijtee.13777.1081219>
29. Natesan, Dr. B., Paneerselvam, U., & Narayanasamy, Dr. D. (2022). Cyanotis Ceylanica Hassk. (Commelinaceae), First Record to India from Eastern Ghats of Tamil Nadu, India. In Indian Journal of Advanced Botany (Vol. 2, Issue 2, pp. 1–4). <https://doi.org/10.54105/ijab.d2008.102222>

Agro Morphological Trait Characterization of Chickpea Germplasm Varieties to Improve Genetic Resources in Agdora, Oromia, Ethiopia

AUTHOR'S PROFILE



Bekele Kindie (MSc.) is a Researcher at Ethiopia Biodiversity Institute, Harar Biodiversity Center by General Genetics of plant and crop. He obtained his first degree in Applied Biology (BSc.) from Hawassa University and second Degree in General Genetics (MSc.) from Bahir Dar University in 2017 and 2019, respectively. He has working on conservation of

biodiversity, Indigenous genetic resources and species, traditional medicinal plant, horticultural crops and Significant research. He published articles in peer-reviewed and significant Journals. His research work has focuses on chickpea genetic resources and traits exploration, collection, characterization and evaluation for desirable quantitative and qualitative traits by employing agro-morphological traits parameter.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Descriptor scale used to assessment and characterization of twelve qualitative traits.

Qualitative traits	Data recording period	Using scale
Plant pigmentation	At vegetative stage	1 -No-anthocyanin, 3-Low anthocyanin, 5-High anthocyanin
Plant growth habit	At pod filling stage	1-Erect, 3-Semi erect, 5-Semi-spreading, 7-Spreading
Flower color	At flowering stage	1-Dark pink, 3-Pink, 5-Light pink, 7- White pink striped
Pod length	At pod development stage	1-Short, 5-Medium, 7-Long
Lodging	Before harvesting	1- no lodging, 3-A few lodging, 5- Above 50% lodging
Seed shape	After harvesting	1-Angular, ramshead, 3-Irregular owl's head, 5- Owl's head, 7-Pea shaped
Seed color	After harvesting	1-Black(BL), 3-Brown to Reddish brown (B), 5-Green to light green (GR), 7-Yellow to orange yellow(Y), 9-Black brown mosaic (BM), 11-Light Orange to light(LO)
Seed testa texture	After harvesting	1. Rough, 2. Smooth, 3. Tuberculated
Seed size	After harvest	1-Very small, 2-Small, 3-Medium, 4-Large, 5-Very large
Seed ribbing	After harvest	1-Absent 3-Present
Days to 50% flowering	At flowering stage	1. Early, 2. Extra early, 3. Medium, 4. Late
Plant height	At maturity stage	1. Short, 2. Medium, 3. Tall

Appendix 2. Measuring scale used assessment and characterization of nine quantitative traits.

Quantitative traits	Data recording period	Measuring procedure
Days to 50% flowering	Flowering stage	Days from sowing to 50% flowering
Days to 75% maturity	Ripening stage	Days from sowing date to maturity stage
Plant height	Maturity stage	Average number of five selected plant height from ground level to the top of the plants
Primary branch	Ripening stage	The total branches of each plant, from average of five plants
Secondary branch	Ripening stage	The total branches of each plant, from average of five plants
Pods per plant	Harvesting stage	Average of five selected plants pods
100 Seed weight (TGW) in gm	After threshing	Weight of 100 seeds from randomly selected five plants
Yield per plot in gm	After harvesting stage	Total weight of the plot harvested, measured in gm
grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	After threshing	Percentage ratio of yield and biological yield

Appendix 3. Frequency of qualitative traits of accessions

character	Traits expressions	Number of Accession	Percentage %	Entry Accession
pigmentation	No-anthocyanin	32	32%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI- 30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 2, Check 3, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7, Check 8
	Low -anthocyanin	0	0%	-
	High- anthocyanin	0	0%	-
50% flowering Days	Early (40-60 days)	24	75%	EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-30343, EBI- 30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30348, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 8



	Medium(61-79days)	8	25%	EBI-26915, EBI-26918, EBI-26923, EBI-26926, EBI-30344, EBI-30349, EBI-30308, EBI-30320, Check3, Check 2, Check 7
	Late (>79days)	0	0%	-
Height of plant	<45 cm short	32	100%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 2, Check 3, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7, Check 8
	45-65cm medium	0	0%	-
	>65cm tall	0	0%	-
habit of Growth	Erect	17	53.22%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-29063, Check1, Check 2, Check 4, Check 5, Check 7, Check 8
	Semi erect	12	37.5%	EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062
	Semi spreading	3	9.37	EBI-29062, EBI-30318, EBI-30346, Check 3, Check 6
	Spreading	0	0%	-----
Size of Seed	Very small	0	0%	-----
	Small	10	31.25%	EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-30343, EBI-30349, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 2, Cheeck8
	Medium	22	68.75%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26926, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, Check1, Check 3, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7,
	Large	0	0%	-----
Color of Seed	Black(BL),	0	0%	-----
	Brown to Reddish brown(B)	4	12.5%	EBI-26915, EBI-26920, EBI-26926, EBI-30349, Check 6, Check 8
	Green to light green (GR)	2	6.25%	EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 2, Check 5, Check 4
	Yellow to orange yellow(Y)	22	68.75%	EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-26924, EBI-30350, Check 3
	Black brown mosaic (BM),	2	6.25%	EBI-26925, EBI-30315, Check 1, Cheeck7
	Light Orange to light(LO)	2	6.25%	EBI-26925, EBI-30315, Check 1, Cheeck7
Flowering colour	Dark pink,	3	9.37%	EBI-26917, EBI-26925, EBI-30349, Check 2, Check 3, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7
	Pink,	23	71.88%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30319, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 4
	Light pink,	6	18.75%	EBI-26920, EBI-26924, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30318, EBI-30320, Check 8
	White pink	0	0%	-----
Shape of Seed	Angular head	25	78.12%	EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, Check 1, Check 4, Check 5, Check 7
	Irregular owl's head	6	18.75%	EBI-26915, EBI-26918, EBI-30350, EBI-30316, EBI-29062 EBI-29063, Check 2, Check 8
	Owl's head	1	3.13%	EBI-26925, Check 6, Check 3
Shattering	Present	0	0%	-----
	Absent	32	100%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 2, Check 3, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7, Check 8
Length of pod	Short,	7	21.87%	EBI-26915, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-30344, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 3, Check 4, Check 6, Check 8
	Medium,	23	71.88%	EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345,

Agro Morphological Trait Characterization of Chickpea Germplasm Varieties to Improve Genetic Resources in Agdora, Oromia, Ethiopia

Seed texture	Long	2	6.25%	EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30312, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, Check 7
	Rough,	21	65.62%	EBI-30311, EBI-30315, Check 5, Check 2
	Smooth,	5	15.63%	EBI-26915, EBI-26917, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30349, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30317, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 3, Check 5
Lodging	Smooth,	5	15.63%	EBI-26918, EBI-30344, EBI-30350, EBI-30316, EBI-29062, Check 2, Check 4, Check 6, Check 7, Check 8
	Tuberculated	6	18.75%	EBI-26916, EBI-26923, EBI-30346, EBI-30348, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, -----
	Present	0	0%	EBI-26915, EBI-26916, EBI-26917, EBI-26918, EBI-26919, EBI-26920, EBI-26921, EBI-26923, EBI-26924, EBI-26925, EBI-26926, EBI-30343, EBI-30344, EBI-30346, EBI-30342, EBI-30345, EBI-30347, EBI-30350, EBI-30349, EBI-30348, EBI-30308, EBI-30311, EBI-30312, EBI-30315, EBI-30316, EBI-30317, EBI-30318, EBI-30319, EBI-30320, EBI-30329, EBI-29062, EBI-29063, Check 1, Check 2, Check 3, Check 4, Check 5, Check 6, Check 7, Check 8
	Absent	32	100%	

Appendix 4. Frequency distribution of quantitative traits of accessions

Genotypes	PH	DF	DM	PB	SB	PPP	TGW	YPP	YPH
EBI-26915	40	63	89	5	9.3	47.4	41.5	324.2	1080.67
EBI-26916	40	53	80	6.3	10.6	110.4	41.4	342.5	1141.67
EBI-26917	40	56	82	9.3	12	46	55.9	331.9	1106.33
EBI-26918	40	65	91	3.7	9.3	93.8	28.3	350.6	1168.67
EBI-26919	34	57	83	7.9	11.9	33.8	27.5	176.5	588.33
EBI-26920	38	57	83	4.7	9	28.4	43	167.5	558.33
EBI-26921	38	57	83	3.3	6	24.8	28.2	98.5	329.33
EBI-26923	40	62	88	3.3	6.7	41.4	27.1	151.5	505
EBI-26924	43	58	84	3.4	6	47.9	29.8	294.2	980.67
EBI-26925	38	59	85	4.2	11	39.8	52.5	233.3	777.67
EBI-26926	43	54	80	3	8.6	37	31.2	351.1	1170.33
EBI-30343	36	56	82	3.8	8.8	62.8	28.7	287.3	957.67
EBI-30344	40	62	88	4.4	11.2	54	28.8	359.6	1198.67
EBI-30346	40	55	81	4.4	9.2	74.4	26.4	450.5	1498.33
EBI-30342	40	60	86	2.5	6.5	108	28.5	420.4	1401.33
EBI-30345	40	55	81	3.4	11	142.4	29.4	524.1	1748
EBI-30347	36	57	83	3	9	66.2	25.9	238.6	795.33
EBI-30350	40	57	83	2.2	7	72.4	26.4	468	1560
EBI-30349	40	63	89	2.2	6.8	50.2	27.6	361.1	1203.67
EBI-30348	43	56	82	2.2	9.2	29.4	26.2	317.4	1058
EBI-30308	37	65	91	2.6	6.6	62.8	26.7	248	826.67
EBI-30311	37	60	86	3.2	8.6	84	27.3	277.3	924.33
EBI-30312	36	54	80	4	14	98.2	29.2	373	1243.33
EBI-30315	40	57	83	2.75	7	72	29.1	400.2	1334
EBI-30316	34	58	84	3	14	101.6	29.6	297.8	992.67
EBI-30317	43	58	84	2.25	11.5	106.8	30.3	310.7	1035.67
EBI-30318	40	58	84	2.8	9.2	53.8	29.1	170.3	567.67
EBI-30319	40	56	82	2.2	5.4	40	26.6	169	563.33
EBI-30320	35	67	93	2.5	8	38.8	30.5	201.8	672.67
EBI-30329	41	56	82	2.4	9	69	28	219.3	731
EBI-29062	40	59	85	2	6.25	39.8	46.9	142.4	471.33
EBI-29063	43	58	84	4	14	42	51.9	270.6	902
Check1	40.5	52	87	2.9	4.9	37.2	38.4	280.1	933.3
Check 2	36	52	87	1.7	5.9	39.2	29.6	294.3	980.8
Check 3	40	54	81	1.3	4.6	38	29	235	902
Check 4	36.75	52	80	1.2	4.25	37	27	245	870
Check 5	35.5	53	80	15	4.9	37.4	27	285	881
Check 6	35	53	83	1.1	4.3	37	29.3	274	788
Check 7	35.5	55	85	1.6	4.7	35.9	29.1	282	679
Check 8	37	52	85	1.4	4	38	28.2	291	899

Key: (PH)-Plant height; (DF)-Days to 50% flowering; (DM)-Days to 75% maturity; (YPH)-Yield per hectare; (YPP)-Yield per plot in gm; (TGW)-100 Seed weight; (PPP)-Pods per plant; (SB)-Secondary branch; (PB)-Primary branch

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s). The Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

